

Who takes the cake? The heterogeneous effect of ECB accommodative monetary policy across income classes

by Elena Bárcena Martín, Natalia Martín Fuentes, Salvador Pèrez Moreno

discussed by

Giacomo Rella (Roma Tre University)

IARIW - Bank of Italy Conference

Napoli, March 28-31 2023

This paper - short summary

– Research questions:

- What are the effects of monetary policy on unemployment rates and real labor income across income classes (lower, lower-middle, middle-upper, and upper)?

– Methodology and research design:

- Focus on euro area and ECB expansionary monetary policy.
- Distributional variable based on EU-SILC (unemployment rates and labor income)
- VAR models and local projections.

– Results and contribution:

- Effect of monetary policy on unemployment rates is heterogeneous across income classes → lower inequality.
- Effect of monetary policy on labor incomes is heterogeneous across income classes → higher inequality.

Comments I

- **Framing and contribution:** intensive vs. extensive margin for analyzing the effects of monetary policy on income inequality is a nice framing.
- **Novelty:** not many papers use EU-SILC data in the context of monetary policy analysis (another is [Corrado et al. \(2022\)](#), in this conference too).
- **Income concepts:**
 - Why focusing only on labor income?
 - EU-SILC provides other income concepts (e.g., financial income).
 - Theory suggests that the distributional effects of monetary policy works through the response of different types incomes (see Figure 1 in [Violante, 2021](#)).
 - See [Andersen et al. \(2023\)](#) on the effects of monetary policy on income (labor, financial, business income, etc.) across the income distribution (for Denmark).

Comments II

– Income classes:

- Classification of households in income classes is motivated extensively in the paper.
- Is this classification *relevant* for monetary policy?
- [Andersen et al. \(2023\)](#) (for Denmark) document substantial heterogeneity in the response of labor income within the bottom 50%.



Figure: Response of salary income to monetary policy shock from [Andersen et al. \(2023\)](#)

Comments III

– Type of monetary policy shocks

- Shadow rates are used to capture both conventional and unconventional monetary policy,
- but the type of monetary policy matters for the effect of monetary policy shocks on income components (see Figure 1 in [Violante, 2021](#)).

– Identification

- Different strategies are used in the paper (Cholesky and sign restrictions in VARs, *observed* shocks in the local projections).
- Important to discuss the assumption behind the sign restrictions.
- Why not using the **Euro Area Monetary Policy Event-Study Database** of [Altavilla et al. \(2019\)](#)? It contains conv. and unconv. policy shocks that can be used as internal instruments ([Plagborg-Møller and Wolf, 2021](#)).

– Coherence across models

- VAR models are estimated country-by-country \implies country-specific coefficients.
- Local projections IRFs are estimated using a panel \implies common coefficients.

- Altavilla, C., Brugnolini, L., Gürkaynak, R. S., Motto, R., and Ragusa, G. (2019). Measuring euro area monetary policy. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 108:162–179.
- Andersen, A. L., Johannesen, N., Jørgensen, M., and Peydro, J.-L. (2023). Monetary policy and inequality. *Journal of Finance (Forthcoming)*.
- Corrado, L., Fantozzi, D., Giglioli, S., et al. (2022). Real-time inequalities and policies during the pandemic in the us. Technical report, Bank of Italy, Economic Research and International Relations Area.
- Plagborg-Møller, M. and Wolf, C. K. (2021). Local projections and VARs estimate the same impulse responses. *Econometrica*, 89(2):955–980. Publisher: Wiley Online Library.
- Violante, G. (2021). What have we learned from hank models, thus far? *Proceedings of the ECB Forum on Central Banking*.